











Group Members Present:	classroom teachers		
Norms: Behave ! (3)		: Classrooms	
Date: Tues., 2/2/16	Grade Level Content: Re		
Topic:	Discussion Points	Decisions	
1. Review New Math smart goal. What instructional strategies are you using to move students who have not met current math smart goal?	- Add Sub. Sparkle Game - Facts Sheets for Star or Sticker - Around the World Add Bub. Facts	Try more strategies! Attournment is graphing Smart Goods on wall in her room. The Long	Shor
2. Review ELA smart goal. How close are you to reaching current goal?	How many students do you need to move to meet current ELA goal?	Current & Prof. 19% Von Students Needed aprox. 27	wel
3. Review your Acuity/Evaluate ELA and Math scores what standards are your lowest? Have they been a smart goal yet this year?	Time - not covered yf Compare Texts - Aw If not will they be in the near future?	t -> will start early! hor's Point of View - wor on now.	
4. How many of your lowest standards are learning targets on the building curriculum Map?	If they are not do they need to be added?	Time is on Curriculus Map.	M
5. Review your Star scores midterm is Friday and how many students have met the (.9) in your	>15 currently@1.7		
grade level? How	What instructional strategy	-mutivate students to	
many students are	do are you going to use to	do their best on STAR	
close to the goal in	move those students that	test	
your grade level?	are close to the goal?	- practice reading strategies	
Check the Corollary Questions that	were discussed during the meeting		
	What do we expect students to lea		
- Contract interest	How will we know when students		
processing the second	How will we respond when studen		
	and content?	ts already know the key concepts, skills	
and the same of th	Based on collaborative analysis of	the results of our efforts, what can we do	

1st Grade SMAR	「Goal	Standard/Skill: Addition & Subtraction	n 8-10	
Pre Test (A) Procicient 100-80 Nina-93. Jara-93 Gunner-80. Jacob-90 Giovanny-95 Kendra-90. Mathew-83.	Date: 1/6/16 (B) Close to Proficient 79-60 Daniel C-68 Baylee-63 Chase F-70 Tyson-73 Brack-70 Garang-65 Bailey M-63 Lanessa-70	(C) Far, but Likely 59-50 Dylan-50 Hailey-50 Alexis-50	(D) Not Likely 49-	Company Compan
CAN STREET	8	8	3	24
Current Percent Proficient:	18.60%	Total Students:	43	
Goal:	A STATE OF THE STATE OF THE PARTY.	A+B+C Students:	19	

Plan: Reteach or Enrich during interventions. Practice Facts during Den Time.

Mid Test	Date:			
(A) Procicient 100-80	(B) Close to Proficient 79-60	(C) Far, but Likely 59-50	(D) Not Likely 49	
Brock-95 Bella-95 Giovanny-100 Jara-90	Delanie-73 Alexis-63 Chase F65 Tyson-73 Kendra-65 Samuel-73 Mathew-68 Daniel V-73	Maddie-50 Jace H-53 Alien-50 Asher-58 Kelcie-58	Share-18 Ann Melani-5 Lan Varellie-0 Kia Gadiel-10 Ann Kaven-45 Cha	hony-28 Hailey-45 essa-33 Tory-10 a-40 Samahi-43 hony-30 Jane-48 se-45
13		8	Jace K-10 Fre	ddy-25 16
Current Percent Proficient:	30.95%	Total Students:	42	
Goal:	44,19%	A+B+C Students:	26	

Post Test	Date:		
(A) Procicient 100-80	(B) Close to Proficient 79-60	(C) Far, but Likely 59-50	(D) Not Likely 49-0
Mathew-100 / Jace-100 Alexis-95 Bella-98 Daniel-95 Jacob-100 Balley M. 85 Gunner-98 Jara-98 Laressa-83 Baylee-95 Tyson-88 Caylee-98 Garang-100 Nino-93 Jane-93 Glovanny-98 Sam-90 Kendra-95 Hailey-90 Brock-100 Dylan-95	Ailen-70 Maddie-73 Chase-63 Samahi-63	Kaven-55 Chase-50	Asher-23 Share-28 Gadiel-10 Melani-8 Daniel V. 35 Delanie-45 Kelcie-45 Yanellie-5 Klara-38 Freddy-5 Dulce-33 Tory-43 Jace K5 Anthony-8
22		4	2 14
Current Percent Proficient:	152:38% 44:19%	Total Students:	42
Goal:	152:38% 44.19% NO	A+B+C Students:	28

6-10 Addition and Subtraction Pre-Mid-Post

Name:_____

Add.

Subtract.

		· ' !			1000 - 124-2	_	
	clent and higher III		as measured by		What are we going to do? Nove of the	Data Formula 80-1,00 Proficient 60-79 close	50-59 far but likely
Reading STEP 3: SET/REVIEW/REVISE SMART GOAL	I he percentage ofstudents scoring profice		will increase from <u>84.</u> % to <u>95.</u> % by <u>37/76</u>	k adm	Small groups Weaknesses: Weaknesses: Oroups within Orevery the fortast: Distactions vs	r Proficient divided by Total number of stud)) ?roficient, close, and far but likely divided by -B+C+D)	

Focus: Decoding W Student Vowel Team	ords 2/4/16	No. 1 II D. 1	
Student Vowel Teams	Beginning Benchmark	Middle Benchmark	End Benchmark
Gracie	100 9-		
Juan	76 C		
Landon	88 P		
Anthony	82.0	<u> </u>	
Aziell	94 P.		
Brana	100 P		
Drow	100P		
Mya. Elizabeth	760		
Flizabeth	1000		
Hunter	58 FB4		<u> </u>
Ian.	100	<u> </u>	
Santiago Lainese	100 P	<u> </u>	
	1009	 	
Levi;	100 f. 100 f.		
Haylee	100:17		
	la sil n		
Kaedyn	100% P		
Hayden H.	1001, P		
Halphen C			
Gaulin	160% P.		
Marian	100%. P.	<u> </u>	
Gerardo	25% NL		
Orkzamin	(00% P.		
Christopher Gretal	921, P.		
	67% C	<u> </u>	
Jerry	33%. NL		
alex ander	1001. 1		
Oscar	8.3.1.1.		
October	1001/.P.	 	
Cristian	1001, P	 	•
Tori	1007, 4-		
T 10010 01	92% P	·	
Emmanuel Andrew Coccura Americal Americal Itailey Lexus Tarrett Kole Emma	100% P.		+
O necus	100% P		
The exist	100% P		
Jan 100 1	50% FBL	 	
Hrilail	92% P		1
Desite	1000. P.		
TARRAT	831. P		
12.11	00110		
Emma.	921.8		
	03/15		
Carpinghi	Mari		i
Greyson Taiven Addison	10/2P		

#1 36-P 3-C 2-FBL 2-NL

Reading Smart Goal

Vowel Teams

team	beet	goat	true
treat	moat	glue	beam
cream	toast	due	free

NL-Below 50

F	ocus: Add 2 digi	ts 2/4/16		
S	tudent regrouping	Beginning Bénchmark	Middle Benchmark	End Benchmark
T		1006 R		
T	Mya Haylee Ley	946P		
F	Houles	108% P		
F	1011	9488		
H	Santiago	949,7		
H	Landon	1000		
-	Hunter	10004		
\vdash	Build	942 P		
H	Elizabeth	1003 P		
H	Briona Elizabeth Tuan Aziel	10000		
+	Aziol	STOP		` ,
+	Dist	100% P		
-	1/2 throw is	auth &		
H	Gracie Anthony Lainee Drew	1000P		
ŀ	<u>Lainee</u>	GLP2 P		
+	JYEW.	· / / / / · ·		
ŀ	103	Inn le P		
}	Xander Christopher Hauden H.	100% P 887 P 100% P 1006 P 751. C		
-	Lhvistonie V	1007 1		
-	Hauden H.	1006		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	Marion	7511.		
;	Gretel	124 6		
/	Cerardo	67% S 83%P	<u> </u>	
ļ	Jerry Cristian Tori	03/11		
	<u>Ctistian</u>	834. P 1001. P.		
	Tori	75%.		
İ	October.			
	October Kaedun Oscar	1001.1	*	
	Oscar	100 / P		
	Hayden C.	941. P		
	· Gavin	881, 9.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
		7 10		
	Kole Addisón	1000 P	<u> </u>	· ·
	Addison	100% P 948 P		
	hexus	1 740 7		
	Emmanuel	100% P		
	Amtrew	76% D		
	Garana	766 C		
	America	58% P 100% P 100% P 94% P		
	Ashlev	100%		
	truley	100% 7		
	Tarrett	94% P		
	Emma.	94% 2	<u> </u>	
	Taiven	100% P		
	Addison Lexus Emmannel Ardrew Gorona America Ashley Howley Tarrett Emma Taiven Elyzabeth Gieyson	100% P		
	Cievson			

P-38 C-4 FBL-(NL= (Absent.

Milan Elementary Team Meeting Record Sheet









	4, 4,	
Group Members Present:	classroom teachers	
Norms: We Know	them CLocation: Classrooms	
Date: Tues., 2/2/16	Grade Level Content: Reading/Math	
Topic:	Discussion Points Decisions	
 Review New Math smart goal. What instructional 	2 digit addition with regrouping.	
strategies are you using to move students who have not met current	· Goals met	
math smart goal? 2. Review ELA smart goal. How close are	How many students do you need to move to meet	
you to reaching current goal? 3. Review your	current ELA goal? Coal met Decoding	
Acuity/Evaluate ELA and Math scores what standards are	New goal- Decoding Vowel team words ELA Aruty-Key Graphing and main Id If not will they be in the	eq
your lowest? Have they been a smart goal yet this year? 4. How many of your	If not will they be in the near future? no - Might be but have not been town If they are not do they	5 Hy
lowest standards are learning targets on — the building curriculum Map?	need to be added? All are targets	
5. Review your Star scores midterm is Friday and how M		
many students have met the (.9) in your grade level? How	What instructional strategy Small group instruct	1671
many students are close to the goal in	do are you going to use to Allow to move those students that Don time reading	
your grade level?	are close to the goal? I Book I+/ Reading at ho	me.
Check the Corollary Questions that		
	What do we expect students to learn?	
	How will we know when students have learned?	
	How will we respond when students experience difficulty learning? How will we respond when students already know the key concepts, skills	
	and content?	
	Based on collaborative analysis of the results of our efforts, what can we do	-

2nd Grade.

Milan Elementary
Team Meeting Record Sheet





2

	40 40	
Group Members Present:	: classroom teachers	
Norms: 1/21/16	Location: Classrooms	
Date: Tues., 1/19/16	Grade Level Content: Reading/Math	يكاسن أدواكم الماطيط ومتاكمت المضارعون
Topic:	Discussion Points Decisions	, ,
1. Review Math smart	How many students do you	ants
goal. How close are	need to move to meet Swart God SLO STICE	
you to reaching	current Math goal? 5 students Jerry, Se	Adloa
current goal. (Due	Andreidala	a p) /
Jan. 29 th)	need to move to meet Man current Math goal? 5 students	
2. What instructional	Reteaching, small groups, manipulatives	
strategies are you	11 axaups	
using to move	Small grade	
students who have	manipulatives	
not current math	1 = 1.4	- I
smart goal?	1. Steve	Leut/
3. Review ELA smart	How many students do you need to move to meet current ELA goal?	(9)
goal. How close are	need to move to meet	revola
you to reaching	Reteaching; Small groups, Reteaching; Ashlec	: 1.
current goal?	Juan	T. road.
4. What instructional	Landon!	Jairen
strategies are you	Gretall avolus	NY
using to move	Ashlee)
students who have	Reteaching, Small groups, Gretal, tutoring	
not current ELA		. 1.
smart goal?	Yes Head Ours Hypistur of the	Set
5. Have you reviewed upcoming	Ques	Junys
Evaluate/Acuity Test	Yes #4 pletu	(re
skills for the month	of tiel	MOVE
of January?	1/20/00	٠
6. What skills are on	Most skill taught word to have the word	t la version t
the test that you	Wast LA Revisit	. HARMING
have already taught?	A det and but the state of the	
What skills will be on	Attacker of Constant wanger	
the test that you	Math - graphing I traction to	es but
have not taught?	measure ment arrays have not	J. "
Check the Corollary Questions that	Math - graphing fraction, money math - graphing arrays have not been to at were discussed during the meeting:	
	What do we expect students to learn?	
	How will we know when students have learned?	
 	How will we respond when students experience difficulty learning? How will we respond when students already know the key concepts, skills	
Lucilium	and content?	
	Based on collaborative analysis of the results of our efforts, what can we do	
	to improve student learning?	