Attached to the bottom of this email are 6th grade TACA forms from each core content area. Each team works through the questions after they have uploaded the data to determine next steps for intervention and extension. This practice has become very important for us this year and we greatly value it as discussions from the data determine best practices and how we can help students that don't get it as well as students that do. A couple of highlights from the TACA data are:
1.

| 1) What part(s) of the standard did our students do well? |
| :--- |
| identifying the order of the social hierarchy of Ancient Egypt |
| 2) What patterns can we identify from the student mistakes? |
| not comparing and contrasting the their hierarchy with the current hierarchy of our middle school |
| 3) How do the students with IEPs, ILPs, Tier 3 and 504 compare? |
| Reg. ed -82\% IEP-68\% ILP- 80\% 504-100\% |
| 4) Which instructional practices proved to be most effective? |
| Schumaker scored highest, exemplar of the current social hierarchy really helped them understand how it worked in Ancient Egypt |
| 5) How can we improve the assessment? |
| We are going to have the CSA organized better for them to fill out the hierarchy and make the proper comparisons, there wasn't enough room for them to get good writing comparisons |

This box above is from the social studies team TACA form where they are asking questions about a unit where they studied Egypt to teach students how to write informative/explanatory texts to examine a topic and convey ideas, concepts, and information through the selection, organization and analysis of relevant content. The three teachers noted that students did well in identifying the order of the social hierarchy of Ancient Egypt and that Mr. Schumaker's class had the highest scores which they attributed to his use of an exemplar of the current social hierarchy. Going through each question is crucial for team members because it exposes what we truly do in the classroom and what works best. Another highlight is from the math team:

| GRADE TOTALS |  | Order of Operations | Substition | Simplify Expressions | Write Expressions | Standard/ Leaming Target | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of Proficient Students |  | 55.0\% | 48.1\% | 32.8\% | 20.9\% | \#DIV/0! | 12.0\% |
| Number of 4's |  | 18 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 10 |
| Number of 3's |  | 30 | 29 | 23 | 14 | 0 | 24 |
| Number of 2's |  | 26 | 25 | 39 | 36 | 0 | 32 |
| Number of 1's |  | 13 | 12 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 12 |
| Number of 0 's |  | 0 | 8 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 11 |
| IEP | Number Proficient | 8 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  |
|  | \% Proficient | 32\% | 28\% | 16\% | 8\% | 0\% |  |
| ILP | Number Proficient | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | \% Proficient | 25\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| 504 | Number Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | \% Proficient | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! |  |
| Tier 3 | Number Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | \% Proficient | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! |  |

This chart displays data from the common formative assessment, Unit 6 CFA and CSA in which teachers instructed on order of operations, substitution, simplifying expressions and writing expressions. Students took this assessment after initial instruction, which translates to a week of instruction with their classroom teacher. The following chart:

| GRADE TOTALS |  | Order of Operations | Evaluating Expressions | Simplify Expressions | Word Problems | Standard/ Learning Target | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of Proficient Students |  | 69.5\% | 70.8\% | 65.0\% | 39.7\% | \#DIV/0! | 35.9\% |
| Number of 4's |  | 25 | 25 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 16 |
| Number of 3's |  | 25 | 22 | 26 | 23 | 0 | 24 |
| Number of 2's |  | 14 | 14 | 17 | 28 | 0 | 18 |
| Number of 1's |  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Number of 0 's |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| IEP | Number Proficient | 7 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 |  |
|  | \% Proficient | 28\% | 24\% | 20\% | 12\% | 0\% |  |
| ILP | Number Proficient | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  | \% Proficient | 25\% | 75\% | 25\% | 25\% | 0\% |  |
| 504 | Number Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | \% Proficient | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! |  |
| Tier 3 | Number Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | \% Proficient | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! |  |

displays common summative assessment data after tier 2 interventions based on the CFA data. As you can see, the total percent of proficient students increased from $12 \%$ after initial instruction to $35 \%$ after whole group corrections, Mathia completion, and order of operations reteach and substitution reteach. The team also decided to implement a STOP teaching day to reteach and review before moving on. The last chart shows what the team did on the TACA form to display their action plan:

| TEAM ACTION PLAN FOR CFA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How will we respond when student have not learned it and how will we respond when students already know it? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 (Extension) |  | 3 (Extension or Intervention) | 2 2 (Intervention) |  | 1 (Intervention) |  |
| Activitiy/Assignment/Task | CFA 1 - WyTOPP Prep \& Taske Cards |  |  | CFA 1 - Mathia Completion <br> CFA 2 - <br> Whole group corrections \& IXL Jam Board ALL STUDENTS |  | CFA 1- Order of Operations Reteach, Substitution Reteach |  |
| Instructional Strategy Being Utilize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| When \& Where | CLL |  |  | CLL |  | CLL |  |
| Staff Member Who Will Instruct | CFA 1 Bodin |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFA } 1 \\ & \text { Fabin } \end{aligned}$ | CFA 2 <br> All classes STOP DAY | CFA 1 <br> Substitutijon - <br>  <br> Hohnholt <br> Order of <br> Operations - Foster \& Maranan |  |

Each team works through this process of analyzing student data in all grade levels, to include subgroups, and then creating an action plan to determine next steps as they answer PLC questions 3 and 4. These are rich discussions as they work toward giving every student what they need to be successful.

The second point of interest is our whole school data relating to our state assessment. It is evident that our 7th and 8th grade students are performing well on the state exam at the end of the year. We are confident that the building-wide practices and systemic changes we have made will continue to reinforce best practice as we use the forms attached to this email for all grade levels, including 6th grade. We do not make excuses for them when we receive them from the elementary school and we know we have three years to prepare them for high school. We are very proud of the last three 8th grade classes that we have sent to Rawlins High School, as they were academically prepared to increase their rigor and reading load (the current 9th and 1oth grade students are showing the best scores that the high school has ever had!!) Our teams work the same with all three grade levels at Rawlins Middle School and the overall data demonstrates that we are sustaining in this area. The data that was submitted with our application equals out to the following total point values divided by seven exams:

Spring 2021287 points $41 \%$ proficient/advanced
Spring 2022356 points $50.8 \%$ proficient/advanced
Spring 2023348 points $49.7 \%$ proficient/advanced
*****I checked our state centralized reporting system again last night and found a couple of changes in our data. There was an increase in our 6th grade ELA score from $45 \%$ to $48 \%$ proficient/advanced, an increase of 3 . The other discrepancy in reported data was in 6th grade math from $37 \%$ to $39 \%$ proficient/advanced, an increase of 2 . With an overall increase in whole school data of 5 points, that moves our Spring 2023 total from 348 to 353 bringing last spring's whole school percentage to $\mathbf{5 0 . 4 \%}$ proficient/advanced. These data points show that our whole school practice in the PLC process of ensuring learning for all, working in collaborative teams, and relentlessly focusing on results, has changed everything as we sustain what we do. We are proud of what we have done and the journey we have been on...but there are new 6th grade students coming to us this fall and the fall after that and we will continue to fine tune our school as we become exactly what each student needs.

Thank you again for your consideration.
6th Grade Math TACA
6th Grade ELA TACA
6th Grade SS TACA
6th Grade Science TACA

