Beaver Dam Unified School District

School Intervention Team (SIT); Observational Feedback for Consideration

Date:	10/10/22
School:	Prairie View Elementary

Please keep in mind that observational information is based on what has been observed at this singular meeting and not an overall judgment of the SIT.

Essential Functions of the SIT							
Identify students needing intensive support (Tier II/III SEL & Behavior; Tier III Academic) using relevant data.	Determine specific interventions and establish intervention goals for each student, prioritizing resources based on greatest needs.	Establish and utilize a process for staff to be able to refer students to the SIT for review.					
Regularly monitor intervention success student by student using relevant data.	Make intervention adjustments (entrance, exit, intensity) based on need during and at the conclusion of intervention cycles.	Assess effectiveness of interventions offered to learn, celebrate, and adjust as needed.					

SIT Look-Fors						
Indicator	o	bservation	Notes			
Time/Location: SIT met at the	V	Evident				
predetermined time and location.		Partially Evident				
		Not Evident				
Membership: Necessary	\checkmark	Evident				
members were present for the meeting.		Partially Evident				
		Not Evident				
Agenda/Procedure: An agenda was established or a clear	V	Evident				
procedure was in place to conduct the meeting.		Partially Evident				
the meeting.		Not Evident				
Norms: The team had established norms to support the efficiency of		Evident	There were times that people talked over others. In addition, points were made or decisions were			
the meeting; These were followed.	V	Partially Evident	made and members continued to want to share examples or stories.			
		Not Evident				
Essential Functions: The meeting was focused on the	V	Evident	See highlighted function above.			
essential functions of the SIT.		Partially Evident				
		Not Evident				
Data: Clear and relevant data was used to report progress and make	V	Evident	Data was clearly connected to student learning and collected.			
decisions.		Partially Evident	In some cases "I feel" was referenced to report progress or make decisions, but data was then			
		Not Evident	produced.			

Roles: Those at the meeting understood their roles, came		Evident		Somecontributions were restated information or added anecdotal information not relevant to the			
prepared, and contributed to the meeting.	\checkmark	Partially Ev	ident				
meeting.		Not Eviden	t				
Organization: Data and information was organized in a	\checkmark	Evident					
manner to allow for easy access and readability.		Partially Ev	ident				
and readability.		Not Eviden	t				
Record Keeping: Information discussed at the meeting, including	✓	Evident					
action steps and timelines, was kept in meeting notes.		Partially Evident					
rept in meeting notes.		Not Eviden	t				
Efficiency: The team meeting was conducted in a manner that met	V	Evident					
the objectives and was a good use of time for those involved and the		Partially Evident					
students served.		Not Evident					
Team Mindset: The team meeting was focused on solutions to		Evident		The team was structured to support students performing at grade level, but there were also conversations about factors outside the team's control.			
support all students achieving at grade level or higher.	\checkmark	Partially Evident					
grade level of fligher.		Not Evident					
REFLECTIONS							
Glows				Grows			
 It was evident that people at the table were familiar with students. The team used names and timelines for action steps determined. 			•	Norms or other such strategies could be used to support more solution-focused and efficient dialogue.			

Glows Glows Grows It was evident that people at the table were familiar with students. The team used names and timelines for action steps determined. Data was collected for monitoring. Reflection Questions Reflection Questions As you plan groups for intervention (academic), how does the team ensure that needs are consistent across the group? Example: Are we grouping students for "math" or for computation? Is the team using multiple data points to make intervention decisions?